

PHONE: (717) 783-5417 FAX: (717) 783-2664 irrc@irrc.state.pa.us http://www.irrc.state.pa.us

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION 333 MARKET STREET, 14TH FLOOR, HARRISBURG, PA 17101

February 5, 2007

Honorable Robert M. Tomlinson, Chairman, Senate Consumer Protection and Professional Licensure Committee 362 Main Capitol Harrisburg, PA 17110

Re: Regulation #16A-4313 (IRRC #2568)

State Board of Chiropractic

Patient Records

Dear Senator Tomlinson:

On November 29, 2006, we delivered our comments on the above-captioned regulation to Jonathan W. McCullough, D.C., Chairman, State Board of Chiropractic. Because the General Assembly had adjourned *sine die*, we were precluded from providing you with a copy at that time.

Enclosed is a copy of our comments. If you have any questions, please contact me.

Sincerely,

Kim Kaufman

Executive Director

1014

wbg



PHONE: (717) 783-5417 FAX: (717) 783-2664 irrc@irrc.state.pa.us http://www.irrc.state.pa.us

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION 333 MARKET STREET, 14TH FLOOR, HARRISBURG, PA 17101

February 5, 2007

Honorable Lisa M. Boscola, Minority Chairman Senate Consumer Protection and Professional Licensure Committee 458 Main Capitol Harrisburg, PA 17110

Re: Regulation #16A-4313 (IRRC #2568)

State Board of Chiropractic

Patient Records

Dear Senator Boscola:

On November 29, 2006, we delivered our comments on the above-captioned regulation to Jonathan W. McCullough, D.C., Chairman, State Board of Chiropractic. Because the General Assembly had adjourned *sine die*, we were precluded from providing you with a copy at that time.

Enclosed is a copy of our comments. If you have any questions, please contact me.

Sincerely,

Kim Kaufman

Executive Director

wbg



PHONE: (717) 783-5417 FAX: (717) 783-2664 irrc@irrc.state.pa.us http://www.irrc.state.pa.us

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION 333 MARKET STREET, 14TH FLOOR, HARRISBURG, PA 17101

February 5, 2007

Honorable P. Michael Sturla, Majority Chairman House Professional Licensure Committee 105 East Wing Harrisburg, PA 17110

Re: Regulation #16A-4313 (IRRC #2568)

State Board of Chiropractic

Patient Records

Dear Representative Sturla:

On November 29, 2006, we delivered our comments on the above-captioned regulation to Jonathan W. McCullough, D.C., Chairman, State Board of Chiropractic. Because the General Assembly had adjourned *sine die*, we were precluded from providing you with a copy at that time.

Enclosed is a copy of our comments. If you have any questions, please contact me.

Sincerely,

Kim Kaufman

Executive Director

wbg



PHONE: (717) 783-5417 FAX: (717) 783-2664 irrc@irrc.state.pa.us http://www.irrc.state.pa.us

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION 333 MARKET STREET, 14TH FLOOR, HARRISBURG, PA 17101

February 5, 2007

Honorable William Adolph, Jr., Minority Chairman House Professional Licensure Committee 110 Ryan Office Building Harrisburg, PA 17110

Re: Regulation #16A-4313 (IRRC #2568)

State Board of Chiropractic

Patient Records

Dear Representative Adolph:

11-14

On November 29, 2006, we delivered our comments on the above-captioned regulation to Jonathan W. McCullough, D.C., Chairman, State Board of Chiropractic. Because the General Assembly had adjourned *sine die*, we were precluded from providing you with a copy at that time.

Enclosed is a copy of our comments. If you have any questions, please contact me.

Sincerely,

Kim Kaufman

Executive Director

wbg

Comments of the Independent Regulatory Review Commission

on

State Board of Chiropractic Regulation #16A-4313 (IRRC #2568)

Patient Records

November 29, 2006

We submit for your consideration the following comments on the proposed rulemaking published in the September 30, 2006 *Pennsylvania Bulletin*. Our comments are based on criteria in Section 5.2 of the Regulatory Review Act (71 P.S. § 745.5b). Section 5.1(a) of the Regulatory Review Act (71 P.S. § 745.5a(a)) directs the State Board of Chiropractic (Board) to respond to all comments received from us or any other source.

1. Need, Reasonableness and Economic impact of the regulation.

Standards for medical records

In response to question #16 of the Regulatory Analysis Form, the Board states the following:

On December 6, 2001, the Board received a petition under 1 Pa. Code § 35.18 to promulgate a regulation that would establish medical necessity definitional parameters in order to assist licensees to discharge their regulatory documentation obligation and establish guidelines for peer review and utilization review concerning chiropractic care. The Board held a work session on March 7, 2002. After extensive discussion at public meetings over the ensuing months, the Board concluded that its rulemaking should be directed toward setting forth standards for medical records, not attempting to define what is medically necessary.

The House Professional Licensure Committee (House Committee) asked the Board to further explain the rationale for this regulation. We agree that the Board has not explained the basis for its apparent disagreement with portions of the December 6, 2001 petition. The Board also needs to explain its conclusion that the rulemaking should only be directed toward standards for medical records rather than attempt to define what is medically necessary. It is not clear how this conclusion is consistent with this rulemaking that requires documentation of "diagnosis and clinical necessity," defines separate levels of care and specifies how that care must be documented. The Board should respond to these concerns, provide support for the need for this rulemaking and explain its objectives.

Purposes of patient records

The House Committee also questioned whether the Board is taking a unique approach regarding the content of patient records. In its extensive comments on this regulation, Practice Masters,

Incorporated (PM) believes its suggestions will make the regulation consistent with documentation standards in the industry.

The Board should explain what factors it considered in developing this regulation. Specifically, the Board should explain how the regulation is consistent with documentation standards of other health care licensing boards and how it supports processes such as peer review, documentation for compensation and utilization review.

2. Section 5.1. Definitions - Clarity.

Distinction between levels of care

While the regulation defines four levels of care (elective, maintenance, palliative and supportive) along with preventive service, the distinction between them is not sufficiently clear. The House Committee expressed concern with the lack of distinction between maintenance care and palliative care and asked for examples of each type of care. The House Committee asks the Board to carefully review the comments submitted by PM regarding the definitions. We agree. The Board should review all of the definitions and provide a clear delineation between all of the levels of care and service specified in the regulation.

Clarity affected by use of the negative

Several definitions include a description of what the term does not mean, rather than what the term does mean. This affects the clarity of the definitions. The Board should consider revising the following definitions for clarity: elective care, maintenance care, palliative care and preventive service.

3. Section 5.51. Patient records. - Clarity.

Human performance and sense of well-being

Subparagraph (c)(4)(i) requires the patient record to demonstrate "how human performance and the sense of well-being was enhanced." These requirements are vague. What criteria must the licensee use in the documentation of these findings?